Ten-year record of forest response to elevated CO₂ provides evidence for declining NPP and growth



Richard J. Norby¹, Colleen M. Iversen^{1,2}, Jeffrey M. Warren¹, Aimée T. Classen^{1,2} and Ross McMurtrie³

¹Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee ²University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee ³University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia

Research sponsored by the U. S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Biological and Environmental Research Program

Global models indicate that CO₂ fertilization slows the rate of increase in atmospheric CO₂...



FACE experiments provide the only longterm data to guide how CO₂ fertilization is represented in models



1068-1078.



Oak Ridge Experiment on CO₂ Enrichment of Sweetgum

A FACE experiment in a deciduous forest



- *Liquidambar styraciflua* plantation started in 1988
- Closed-canopy stand, linear growth rate
- 2 elevated, 3 control plots (25 m diameter)
- CO₂ exposure (545 ppm) started in 1998

NPP = stem + coarse root increments + leaf litter + fine-root production

N uptake = N content in these components

We had been reporting that NPP showed a consistent response to elevated CO₂



We now see that NPP has been declining in both ambient and elevated CO₂



- Decline leveling off in 2007?
- Relative response to eCO₂ declining since 2004

... and the response of NPP to elevated CO₂ has been declining



Can we explain these responses?

- Why is NPP declining in ambient CO₂?
- Why is response to eCO₂ declining?
- What do we project for the future?

C⁴MIP models used in IPCC 4th assessment report matched (on average) FACE results

Both the models and experiments do not represent long-term N feedback

"Forests and Climate Change: Forcings, Feedbacks, and the Climate Benefits of Forests"

Free-air CO₂ studies in forests find that a ~50% increase in atmospheric CO₂ concentration sustained over several years enhances NPP by 23%, but the long-term outcome is unclear, especially when interactions with nitrogen availability are considered. (Bonan, Science, 2008)

Foliar nitrogen concentration has been declining steadily



The decline in NPP response is probably related to declining N economy



Implication: no NPP response to eCO₂ when [N] < 9 mg g⁻¹

Can all these results be obtained from a simple model of carbon, water, and nitrogen economy?



Optimum leaf [N] shifts with eCO₂



McMurtrie et al. Functional Plant Biology 35: 521-534.

Model can explain NPP based on N uptake to aboveground pools



Modeled NPP

Photosynthesis is lower than in 2000 ...



Sholtis et al., New Phytologist, 2004; Warren (unpublished)

... and the

response to eCO₂ is much less



Sholtis et al., New Phytologist, 2004; Warren, (unpublished)

Can soil moisture explain the results?

- Effects of drought in 2002 and 2007 were observed
- Relationships between NPP and growing season soil moisture are weak



Possible interactions between soil moisture and N availability?

Why is N uptake declining?



A different optimization model suggests N availability declined from year 1 to 5 and was lower in eCO₂ Franklin et al, *Global Change Biology*, in press

Here, N availability is a plant-centric term (g N g⁻¹ root C) How does this relate to a soil-based evaluation of N availability?

No CO₂ effect on N mineralization Temporal trend is unresolved

Linking fine-root production, N uptake, and NPP

Franklin model predicts increased N demand for fine roots at the expense of wood and leaves as N availability declines

Our data also show increased N uptake to support fine-root production, but without benefit to wood production



We speculated on two potential outcomes of increased fine-root production

Hypothesis:

Increased fine-root production will eventually support greater N supply above ground and increased wood production



Alternative Hypothesis:

Increased cycling of C and N from fine roots to soil might lead to sequestration in the soil and lower N availability to the trees

Conclusions and Continuing Questions

- The NPP response to eCO₂ is declining as site or stand development factors cause NPP to decline
- The trends in NPP and NPP response are probably related to N economy *but this is not Progressive N Limitation*
- The important question now is whether the declining trends in NPP and [N] will continue, or have they reached relatively steady-state levels?
- To make progress in linking experimental data and models, we need better understanding of plant-soil interactions